



CRM-M-64902-2025 **1**
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

150 **CRM-M-64902-2025**
Decided on :24.03.2026
Amarjit Singh alias Bau . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

-:-

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular bail in case FIR No.257 dated 17.09.2025, under Sections 25,54,59 of Arms Act (Sections 25(6), 25(7), 25(8), 54, 59 of Arms Act were added later on), registered at Police Station Gate Hakima, District Amritsar.

2. On the basis of secret information, impugned FIR in the present case was registered. At the time of petitioner's arrest, one Glock 9 mm pistol along with a magazine was recovered from his possession.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is 24 years of age and is in custody since 17.09.2025. Moreover, petitioner has clean antecedent, as no other case is pending against him. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that after completion of the investigation, challan was presented on 15.12.2025, and charges are yet to be framed in the case. There are total of 14 prosecution witnesses; however, none has been examined till date. Thus, trial is likely to take

**CRM-M-64902-2025****2**

considerable time. Accordingly, it is prayed that regular bail be granted to the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, submitting that the allegations against him are serious in nature, involving the recovery of an illegal firearm from his possession. It is contended that the petitioner poses a potential threat to public safety, and there is a likelihood of his tampering with prosecution evidence or influencing witnesses if released on bail. Considering the gravity of the offence and the circumstances of the case, learned State counsel prays for dismissal of present petition.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book along with the documents appended thereto.

6. Considering the fact that petitioner is a young person aged 24 years and is in custody since 17.09.2025, and has clean antecedents with no other case pending against him, coupled with the fact that investigation stands completed, challan has already been presented, and charges are yet to be framed, this Court is of the opinion that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take considerable time.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

**CRM-M-64902-2025****3**

7. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

8. It is further made clear that if, in future, the petitioner is found to be directly involved in similar activities, the prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

24.03.2026***Rashmi***

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No