



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-31091-2026
Date of Decision : **22.09.2026**

PARSHOTAM SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Pardeep Singh Mirpur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. The prayer in the instant writ petition is for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the petitioners the statutory pay scale applicable under the 2009 Rules (Annexure P-5), i.e. Rs.10,300-34,800 with Grade Pay of Rs.5,000/- for the Master Cadre and Grade Pay of Rs.4,200/- for the ETT, Lecturer, Librarian and Class-IV cadres, as subsequently revised vide letter dated 27.02.2012 (Annexure P-6), from the date of their entry into service, along with consequential arrears and interest thereon. Further a prayer has been made for quashing the instructions dated 17.07.2020 (Annexure P-4) and for extending to the petitioners the benefit of the judgment passed in CWP No.15896 of 2023, titled ***Dr. Saurabh Sharma and others v. State of Punjab and others***, along with all consequential benefits and future pay revisions.

2. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners fairly submits that, at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the competent authority/respondent concerned to decide the representation dated 02.02.2026 (Annexure P-9) within a stipulated period.



3. Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab, who is in receipt of an advance notice, and represents the respondents, submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to the competent authority/respondent concerned to decide the representation (*supra*) within a stipulated period.

4. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby **disposed of**, with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation (*supra*), within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, taking note of the aforesaid judgments, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to them and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith.

5. It goes without saying that, if the order is adverse to petitioners' interest, the same shall contain reasons, and they shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

6. **Disposed of** accordingly.

7. Liberty is also reserved to the petitioners to seek revival of the instant writ petition in the event the authority fails to comply with the directions issued hereinabove.

September 22, 2026
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No