



CNR No: PHHC011519582626
2026:PHHC:126831



2026:PHHC:126831

**126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51032-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.09.2026

GURMEET SINGH

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Ms. Neetu Singh Aashat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J. (Oral)

The petition under Section 528 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeks direction to official respondents to consider and decide representation dated 02.09.2026 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that civil suit No. 1078 of 2025 was filed by respondent No. 3-Harnek Singh against the petitioner. The dispute was over a common wall. The Civil Court, vide interim order dated 21.02.2026, restrained petitioner from raising construction upon the joint/common wall without first strengthening the same and also directed the petitioner to undertake necessary repairs of the cracks caused in the house of respondent No. 3 due to the construction. Respondent No. 3, however, was mis-using the interim order and was preventing the petitioner and his family members from entering his own residential property. He had been abusing, threatening and attacking the petitioner. Certain video recordings depicting the incident were on record as Annexure P-2. The petitioner apprehended danger to his life and liberty. In this regard, representation was moved to Senior Superintendent



CRM-M-51032-2026 (O&M)

-2-2026:PHHC:126831

of Police, District Rupnagar on 02.09.2026, but no action was taken.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents and on instructions from ASI Satnam Singh submits that representation dated 02.09.2026 moved by the petitioner was forwarded to Deputy Superintendent of Police, Morinda and the matter was under process.

Dispute between the parties is essentially civil in nature involving disputed questions of facts. The Competent Civil Court is already seized of the matter. If there is violation of orders of the Civil Court, petitioner must seek his remedy by approaching the Court concerned. The Writ Court must refrain from interfering in the civil dispute.

The petition stands disposed of.

Pending CRM(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

09.09.2026
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No