



2026:PHHC:126913

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CNR No: PHHC011518692026

2026:PHHC:126913



CRM-M-51013 of 2026(O&M)
Date of Order:09.09.2026

Jaswinder Singh @ Lucky

..Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Manjot Singh Rai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J. (oral)

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case vide FIR No.129 dated 16.06.2026, under Section 15 of the NDPS Act, Police Station Anti Narcotics Force (ANTF), District ANTF Wing, Patiala. This is the first application for anticipatory bail.

2. It is alleged that on 16.06.2026, when police party headed by ASI Tajinderpal Singh was patrolling in the area of T-Point Village Boota Singh wale turn, secret information was received, pursuant to which 707 kg of Poppy husk loaded in a truck was recovered from conscious possession of one Satguru Singh. It is alleged that accused Satguru Singh suffered disclosure statement that petitioner-Jaswinder Singh was the supplier of contraband.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only evidence collected by the investigating agency against the petitioner was the disclosure statement of co-accused which was not admissible in evidence.

**CRM-M-51013 of 2026(O&M)****-2-**

Petitioner, who had clean antecedents and was ready and willing to join investigation, therefore, may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that petitioner had instructed co-accused to supply 707 kg of Poppy husk to Patra in exchange of Rs. 2 lac.

6. Petitioner is alleged to be running trade of narcotic drugs through co-accused. Considering the heavy quantity of contraband said to be recovered from possession of co-accused, the material collected by the investigating agency against petitioner and requirement of the investigating agency to interrogate him regarding source of the contraband and involvement of others in the trade, it would not be proper, at this stage, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail and to thwart statutory investigational powers of the police.

7. The petition for anticipatory bail is accordingly, dismissed.

8. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be taken as expression of opinion on merits of the case.

9. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

09.09.2026

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No