



2026:PHHC:126982

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CNR No: PHHC011517212026

2026:PHHC:126982



CRWP-10937 of 2026(O&M)
Date of Order:09.09.2026

Sanjay Kumar

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. V.P. Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J. (oral)

The petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India seeks issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing official respondents to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioners from the hands of private respondent No. 5 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was married with respondent No.4 in 2006. Out of the wedlock, four children were born. Three of them were living with the petitioner, while minor Chinu was residing with respondent No. 4. Respondent No.4 was living an immoral life. In this regard, a complaint was made by Disha daughter of the parties, to S.P. Palwal, in 2022. Respondent No.4, in collusion with her paramours and associates was now bent upon to grab properties of the petitioner by illegal means and had threatened to get the petitioner and the children killed. Learned counsel further submits that the threats extended by respondent No.4 constituted a direct and imminent danger to life, liberty and property



of petitioner and his minor children. Representation was made by minor child Disha to S.P. District Palwal, on 14.10.2022, Annexure P-2 and another representation was made by the petitioner to SHO Chandhut, District Palwal, but no action was taken.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of State and submits that previous representation of Disha which was received in the Police Station, was withdrawn. On representation Annexure P4 to SHO PS Chandhut, petitioner was summoned to the police station. He suffered statement that he had not moved any police complaint and did not receive any threat from respondent No.4. Copy of the statement has been placed on record.

Dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.4 is purely a matrimonial one. Respondent No.4 has instituted petition under the DV Act, against petitioner, which is pending before the competent Court. From the facts brought on record, it is clearly not a matter of threat to life and liberty of the petitioner.

Hence, no directions are required to be passed.

Disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

09.09.2026

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No