



CNR No: PHHC011514962026

2026:PHHC:127025



2026:PHHC:127025

CR-6780-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132

**CR-6780-2026 (O&M)
Decided on: 09.09.2026**

AJIT SINGH

..... Petitioner/ Revisionist

Versus

RAM SINGH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Ashish Jhamb, Advocate for the revisionist.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision has been filed assailing impugned order dated 13.07.2026 (Annexure P-7) passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurugram in Civil Suit No.6380 of 2018 titled as “Ram Singh and Others Vs. Deep Chand and Other” whereby evidence of the revisionist/ defendant No.4 has been closed by the court order.

2. Learned counsel for the revisionist submitted that respondents No.1 to 4/ plaintiffs filed a civil suit before the trial Court for partition by metes and bounds with consequential relief of permanent injunction in respect of the properties in which the evidence of the plaintiffs was closed vide order dated 09.03.2026 and the case was fixed for defence evidence. Subsequently, revisionist-defendant no.4 was examined-in-chief and the matter was adjourned to cross examine revisionist/ defendant No.4 and for leading remaining defence evidence. Thereafter, on 21.05.2026 and 02.07.2026, adjournments were sought by



CNR No: PHHC011514962026

2026:PHHC:127025



2026:PHHC:127025

CR-6780-2026

-2-

the revisionist-defendant no.4. Consequently, vide impugned order dated 13.07.2026, the evidence of the revisionist-defendant no.4 was closed on account of adjournment sought by his counsel. It is further submitted that the revisionist/ defendant No.4 is 77 years of age and due to age-related ailments and his advanced years, he could not personally appear before the trial Court and his absence was neither wilful nor intentional. As such, learned counsel prayed for grant of one more effective opportunity to the revisionist to lead and conclude his evidence. Learned counsel further submitted that the revisionist/defendant No.4 is personally present before the trial Court for hearing of the case which is fixed for today.

3. Heard and paper book perused.

4. Taking into consideration the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the revisionist/defendant No.4 and in view of the limited prayer made, the present revision petition is disposed of, with a direction to the trial Court to grant one more effective opportunity to the revisionist/defendant No.4 for his cross examination, subject to payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited with District Legal Services Authority (DLSA), concerned and place on record the receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost before the Court concerned.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

09.09.2026

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO