



CNR No: PHHC011511382026
2026:PHHC:126859

2026:PHHC:126859

**125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50781-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.09.2026

PUSHPA BAHADUR GURUNG AND OTHERS ...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Saurabh Savara, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vaibhav Mittal, Addl. PP UT Chandigarh
and Ms. Diksha Sharma, Advocate for UT Chandigarh.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J. (Oral)

The petition Section 528 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha
Sanhita, 2023, seeks following reliefs:

- (i) direction to official respondents to protect life and liberty of
the petitioners from private respondents No. 5 and its employees;
- (ii) direction to official respondents to conduct enquiry and
register FIR against respondent No. 5 and its employees for to defrauding
the petitioner to the tune of ₹6,00,000/-;
- (iii) direction to official respondents to consider and decide
representation dated 28.08.2026 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
representation dated 28.08.2026 (Annexure P-3) was moved by petitioner
to Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh regarding fraud
committed by private respondents and cheating the petitioners of
₹6,00,000/- on the pretext of sending petitioner and his family members
abroad, but no action was taken on the same.



Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Vaibhav Mittal, Addl. PP UT Chandigarh and Ms. Diksha Sharma, Advocate accept notice on behalf of official respondents and submit that representation of the petitioner was not received. However, they assure that on the basis of Annexure P-3, appropriate action would be taken by the police and representation of the petitioner would be processed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to decide the aforesaid representation dated 28.08.2026 (Annexure P-3) submitted by petitioner within a period of one month from today by passing a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law and take necessary action, if so required.

However, if, respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation (Annexure P-3), the petitioner shall be informed as per mandate of law.

Pending CRM(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

09.09.2026
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
Whether reportable	Yes /No