



2026:PHHC:076798



2026:PHHC:076798

CRM-M-54432-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

244

CRM-M-54432-2025

Date of decision: 15.05.2026

DEEPAK KUMAR**.... PETITIONER(S)****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR****...RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Gourav Rana, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.442 dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 406, 420 and 506 of the IPC and Section 24 of the Emigration Act, 1983, at Police Station Karnal Civil Lines, Haryana along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent No.2.

2. The accused namely Gurpreet Sandhu, has been found innocent and, therefore, has not been impleaded as a party to the present petition.

3. Vide order dated 25.09.2025, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 19.09.2025 (Annexure P-2).

4. The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

5. Pursuant to the order dated 25.09.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,



2026:PHHC:076798



2026:PHHC:076798

CRM-M-54432-2025

2

Karnal and as per the report dated 14.11.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

6. A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

7. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Karnal accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.442 dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 406, 420 and 506 of the IPC and Section 24 of the Emigration Act, 1983, at Police Station Karnal Civil Lines, Haryana along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

15.05.2026

Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No