



CRM-M-49698-2026 (O&M)

**242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CNR No: PHHC011477942026

2026:PHHC:127245

**CRM-M-49698-2026 (O&M)****Date of Decision: 09.09.2026****KHUSHBAG SINGH****... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. S.S.Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is the first petition seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 (U/s 439 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No. 82 dated 17.04.2026, registered under Sections 115, 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 118(2), 190, 191(3), 351(3), and 61(2) of BNS, 2023 (corresponding to Sections 321, 323, 325, 324, 326, 149, 148, 506, 120-B IPC), at Police Station Cheeka, District Kaithal.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with his other associates, formed an unlawful assembly and allegedly attacked Ranjit Singh, causing injuries to him with sharp-edged weapons. As per the medico-legal examination, the injured sustained a total of 12 injuries, out of which four were opined to be caused by a sharp-edged weapon, while the remaining injuries were blunt in nature. A *danda* allegedly used in the commission of the offence was recovered at the instance of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is



CRM-M-49698-2026 (O&M)

innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. No specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 months and 11 days and is not involved in any other case. He, thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and Mr. G.S.Sandhu, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant and have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court today and the same is taken on record. He submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 months and 11 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner; he is in custody for the last 03 months and 11 days and is not involved in any other case; the trial is likely to take time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial. Moreover, *'bail is the rule and jail is the exception'*.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate

**CRM-M-49698-2026 (O&M)**

concerned.

9. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order, if the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

11. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

09.09.2026

Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No