



2026:PHHC:127159

1

CRM-M-49510-2026 (O&M)

**235 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CNR No: PHHC011471782026

2026:PHHC:127159



CRM-M-49510-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.09.2026

ROHIT

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is the third petition seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 (U/s 439 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No. 161 dated 15.12.2025, registered under Sections 308(5), 326, 61(2), 111 of BNS, 2023 (corresponding Sections 386, 430, 120-B IPC) and Sections 3 and 4 of Explosive Act, 1980 at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that FIR in the present case was registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Kawaljit Singh to the effect that he is doing the business of sale and purchase of old vehicles and he has a restaurant at Sohian Road, where he used to park the vehicles and other goods and he had deputed a security guard, namely, Davinder Singh at that place. On 14.12.2025, complainant was present in his house where Security Guard Davinder Singh came and informed the complainant that 6 unidentified persons, who came on two motorcycles, set two vehicles belonging to the complainant on fire. Complainant also informed to the



police that on 21.10.2025 he received a threat call of extortion of Rs.50,00,000/- and he has firm belief that present occurrence was committed by those unknown persons who demanded ransom of Rs.50,00,000/- from him

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The name of petitioner [has emerged on the basis of supplementary statement of the complainant](#). The petitioner is not named in the FIR and no recovery has been effected from him. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 08 months and 15 days. He, thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He has filed the custody certificate in the Court today and the same is taken on record. He submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 08 months and 15 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner is in custody for the last 08 months and 15 days; the trial is likely to take time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial. Moreover, *'bail is the rule*

**CRM-M-49510-2026 (O&M)***and jail is the exception'.*

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order, if the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

11. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

09.09.2026

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No