



CNR No: PHHC011461132026
2026:PHHC:127335



PHHC:127335

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

CRM-M-49484-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.09.2026

Bharat Singh@ Love

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

CRM-37039-2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant application.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

CRM-M-49484-2026

The prayer in the present petition preferred under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'the BNSS') is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.5, dated 07.01.2026 registered at Police Station BPTP, District Faridabad, under Sections 115, 118(1), 126, 190, 191(2) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'the BNS') and Sections 109, 238 BNS (added subsequently)

2. Custody certificate dated 08.09.2026 filed in Court today is taken on record.

3. On a statement of one Ishan Verma, the FIR in question was registered, the translated version of which (as given in Annexure P-1) is as under:-



"Statement of Ishan Verma son of Sh. Sandeep Verma resident of

E/145, Dabua Colony, Faridabad, aged about 21 years, stated that I am resident of above said address and I am having shop of spectacles in basement SCF 47. I am having my ID on Instagram in the name of Ishan Verma. In October, 2025, I received a friend request on my Insta ID and on that ID, I conducted a chat with Insta ID Bhumi27 and chat continued for three days but thereafter, it appears that whom i chatted was not the girl then I asked her photographs and thereafter, she ignored thereafter, in December, 2025 again I got a message from the same ID and sent a voice recording to me then I believed that she was a girl and requested me to meet her. Since, I remained busy at my shop therefore, I declined her request to meet her. On 04.01.2026, I got a message on my Instagram requesting me that no one was at home and I was requested to meet her at her home then I made a voice call and told me that I can visit her house at 7:00 PM and she will be free. On believing this, I reached TDI Society, Sector 89 then I sent a location to her then she asked that there was a guard on that spot therefore, required to go ahead the moment I go ahead, I saw a girl then I stopped my bike in the meantime, who stopped my way from both sides from rear side as well as from the front side and they managed to fall my motorcycle and attacked me with some pointed sharp object then I fell down and raised a noise, they also snatched my gold chain, bracelet and having amount of Rs. 18,000/- then all of the 6/7 boys fled away from the spot while saying today, I saved by the crowd, in future, I will be done to death. Thereafter, I got admitted in Yatharth Hospital 88 by PCR therefore, necessary legal action may kindly be taken against the accused persons and they be arrested. I got recorded my statement which is duly heard by me and same is found to be correct. The said statement got recorded in the presence of my father and I affixed the signatures in front of him. Sd/Ishan."



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated. He submits that it is improbable that six accused would give five puncture wounds to the complainant with the same weapon. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 7 months and 3 days; co-accused Animesh Dass has been granted regular bail vide order dated 26.08.2026 passed in CRM-M-42524-2026; antecedents of the petitioner are clean; investigation stands completed; final report has been submitted; charges have not been framed as a result of which, none out of 21 witnesses has been examined; trial will take a sufficiently long time, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody any longer. He further submits that the parties have arrived at a compromise since they are neighbours and they wish to maintain peace and harmony in the area. He submits that under the circumstances, the petitioner be granted bail.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has opposed the bail petition, stating that the allegations against the petitioner are very serious and that if the petitioner is released on bail, he may try to influence and threaten the witnesses and may also abscond. She further submits that the ice pick was recovered from the petitioner.

6. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

7. The factum of a compromise having been arrived at between the parties may not be relevant as the offence is an offence against the State. However, equally important is the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 7 months and 3 days and trial has not even commenced, for, none out of the 21 witnesses has been examined. Co-accused Animesh Dass has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 26.08.2026 passed in



CRM-M-42524-2026. The antecedents of the petitioner are clean. Trial will take a sufficiently long time and, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody any longer.

8. In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

September 09, 2026

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No