



CWP No.24817 of 2023 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

142

CWP No.24817 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.08.2025

RAMESH AND OTHERS**.....Petitioners****Vs****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Komal Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

[1]. By way of present petition, challenge has been laid to an order dated 02.06.2023 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estate Department, Rohtak whereby predecessor-in-interest of the petitioners was called upon to refund the excess amount paid to him towards interest while making disbursement of amount of compensation against acquisition of his land.

[2]. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no notice was ever served upon the petitioners or the predecessor-in-interest before passing of the order dated 02.06.2023. Learned counsel further submits that even no reasoning has been given in the order for assessing recovery of Rs.2,66,667/- against the deceased Het Ram and, thus the said order was liable to be set aside.

[3]. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the notice was issued to the predecessor-in-interest of the petitioners before effecting recovery of the excess amount, thus the writ petition was liable to be dismissed.

[4]. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.



CWP No.24817 of 2023 (O&M)

[5]. Main grievance of the petitioners is qua the recovery notice dated 02.06.2023 (Annexure P-3). The contents thereof are extracted hereunder:-

“Regarding the above subject, your land, Sector 27, Kheri Saadh vide award no.2 dated 6.4.2025 has been acquired and regarding this acquired land compensation of Rs.12,83,986/- vide check no.293224 dated 21.10.2013 has been paid to you by this department. Director, Urban estate Department, Haryana, Panchkula vide sr. no.5-10/7632 dt. 15.09.2010, interest was to be paid upto 5.07.2010. So, excess amount of Rs.2,66,667/- has been paid to you. Excess amount with interest be deposited as early as possible in this office.”

[6]. Perusal of the aforesaid shows that even the basic and fundamental details with respect to the taking over of the possession of the land in question has not been mentioned in the impugned order/notice (Annexure P-3). Be that as it may, even the petitioners have not been afforded any opportunity of hearing or their predecessor-in-interest before passing of the order of recovery on 02.06.2023 by the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estate Department, Rohtak.

[7]. In view of above, the present petition is allowed. Impugned order dated 02.06.2023 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Land Acquisition Collector is hereby set aside being passed in violation of principles of natural justice. However, the respondent/Land Acquisition Collector would be at liberty to proceed against the petitioners afresh by affording them an opportunity of hearing and thereafter passing a speaking order.

[8]. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

August 26, 2025

Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No