



134

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25497-2025

Date of Decision: 29.08.2025

Surinderpal Kaur

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. R.K.Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant the minimum of the regular pay scales with Dearness Allowance on the post of Multipurpose Health Worker (Female) at par with the regular employees in terms of the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **"State of Punjab and others Vs. Jagjit Singh and others, 2016 (4) SCT 641"** and **"Sabha Shankar Dubey Vs. Divisional Forest Officer & others, 2019(1) SCT 46"**.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends the said relief has already been granted to several other similarly situated employees, who are working in Health Department. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 02.06.2025 (Annexure P-13) to respondent No.2 and he shall be satisfied at this stage, in case, appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 02.06.2025 (Annexure P-13) in light of the judgments rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of **"State of**



“Sabha Shankar Dubey Vs. Divisional Forest Officer & others, 2019(1) SCT 46”.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and has no serious objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. At this stage, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 02.06.2025 (Annexure P-13) submitted by the petitioner, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. While deciding the representation, the respondent No.1 shall take into consideration the law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the matter of State of Punjab and others Vs. Jagjit Singh and others, 2016 (4) SCT 641” and “Sabha Shankar Dubey Vs. Divisional Forest Officer & others, 2019(1) SCT 46”.

8. It is expected that respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order and in case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any consequential relief, the same shall be allowed along with reasonable rate of interest, thereon.

9. Disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

29.08.2025

hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No