



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(128)**CRM-M-47320-2025****Date of Decision: 24.03.2026**

UMED SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Ms. Muskan Chandra, Advocate with
Ms. S. Chahal, Advocate for
Mr. M.S. Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Saumya Ahluwalia, Sr. DAG, Haryana

None for respondent No.2.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the second petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS')], is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.236 dated 06.08.2024, under Sections 76, 115, 190, 191(3) & 351(2) of BNS (lateron deleted Sections 190 and 191(3) of BNS) and added Sections 85, 117 and 35 of BNS), registered at Police Station Sadar Pehowa, Kurukshetra, Haryana.

2. Vide order dated 16.03.2026, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation. The said order is reproduced hereinafter:-

"This is the second petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No. 236 dated 06.8.2024, under Sections 76, 115, 190, 191(3) and 351(2) (later on Sections 190 and 191(3) of BNS were deleted, whereas Sections 85, 117 and 35 of BNS have been added), registered at Police Station Sadar Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

Status report by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police Pehowa, District Kurukshetra has been filed by the State, which is taken on record.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. It is submitted that vide order dated 09.7.2025, the similarly placed co-accused has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, whereas the bail petition qua the petitioner and other co-accused was dismissed. However, now there is a material change in circumstances, inasmuch as matter has been duly compromised between the parties. Reliance in this regard has been placed on the affidavit (Annexure P-4) of the complainant-respondent No. 2. It has also been submitted that the allegations with regard to inflicting injuries upon the complainant are against co-accused Arvind. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate.

Complainant-respondent No. 2 is present in Court today and has not disputed the averments made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Per contra, learned State counsel opposes the present petition.

Heard.

In the present case, the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioner and the complainant. Co-accused Giano Devi has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court on 09.7.2025.

In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023;-

- (1) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.*
- (2) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts to the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to Court or to any police officer.*
- (3) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.*

Needless to mention that the investigating agency/officer shall continue with the investigation of the case in usual manner.

Adjourned to 24.3.2026."

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from the investigating officer concerned, submits that in compliance of order dated 16.03.2026, the petitioner has joined the investigation on 22.03.2026 and is not required for any further investigation.



4. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 16.03.2026 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved with the investigating agency/complainant to move to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439(2) of Cr.P.C.), in the event of violation of any term, stipulated under Section 482(2) BNSS, or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

March 24, 2026

Ritika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>