

128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25216-2025

Date of Decision : 28-08-2025

REDDY RAJESH SURI BANGARI THROUGH HIS BROTHER
.....Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIAN AND OTHERSRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Punit Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Singhwal, Senior Panel Counsel
For the respondent-Union of India.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed with a prayer that the respondent No.2-Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') should be directed to decide the MA 527 of 2025 and OA (Appeal) 216 of 2025 titled ***"BY Reddy Rajesh Suri Bangari Vs. Union of India and ors."*** as expeditiously as possible so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Geeta Singhwal, Senior Panel Counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent-Union of India.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner had moved an application before the Tribunal for the suspension of sentence, which application is been adjourned for a period of six months, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner as the liberty is being sought hence, respondents be directed to decide the said application as early as possible.



Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that they have no objection in case, the prayer of the petitioner is accepted but submits that the next date of hearing has already been put by the Tribunal in the month of December.

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the records of the present case with their able assistance.

Once, challenge is to the order passed by the Court Martial wherein, even the imprisonment has been ordered and an appeal has been preferred along with an application for the suspension of sentence, even if the appeal is not to be heard at an early date but, the application for the suspension of sentence should have been heard, which deals with the liberty to the petitioner.

Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, on an application being moved by the petitioner for pre-ponement of application for the suspension of sentence, the Tribunal is requested that the said claim be decided as expeditiously as possible.

Present petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

28-08-2025

Sapna Goyal

NOTE:

Whether speaking: YES

Whether reportable: NO