



CRM-M-47335-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

260

CRM-M-47335-2025

Date of decision : 23.04.2026

**RAVI ALIAS RAVI KUMAR**

... PETITIONER

Versus

**STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**

.. RESPONDENTS

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Sagar Dangi, Advocate for  
Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikram Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amardeep Sheoran, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.255 dated 10.07.2025, registered under Sections 109(1), 118(1) and 333 of BNS, 2023 (Section 109(1) deleted on 01.08.2025), at Police Station Model Town Rewari, District Rewari (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise and affidavit dated 20.08.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR is an outcome of a misunderstanding between the petitioner and respondent No.2. It is submitted that with the intervention of the respectables of the Society, the matter has indeed been compromised. He has placed reliance on the compromise dated 20.08.2025 (Annexure P-2), in this regard and prays for quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. A Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 28.08.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded



CRM-M-47335-2025

-2-

and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Rewari and got their statements recorded. A copy of report dated 11.09.2025 has been submitted to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

7. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.255 dated 10.07.2025, registered under Sections 109(1), 118(1) and 333 of BNS, 2023 (Section 109(1) deleted on 01.08.2025), at Police Station Model Town Rewari, District Rewari (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner are hereby quashed subject to deposit of Rs.10,000/- towards costs with the High Court Legal Services Committee.

23.04.2026  
Sonia

(H.S.GREWAL)  
JUDGE

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |