



**CRM-M-45878-2025(O&M) and
CRM-M-6299-2025**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRM-M-45878-2025

Supinder Singh

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

116-3

CRM-M-62299-2025

Kulwinder Singh

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

Decided on :21.04.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar Advocate and
Mr. Sonu Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-45878-2025).

Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate and
Ms. Manjot Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-62299-2025)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. By way of this common order, both the aforementioned
petitions are being disposed of as they arise out of one common FIR.



**CRM-M-45878-2025(O&M) and
CRM-M-6299-2025**

2

2. CRM-M-45878-2025 has been filed by petitioner-Supinder Singh under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular bail in connection with FIR No. 147 dated 05.03.2025, under Section 15 of NDPS Act (Sections 15(c), 27-A, 61/85 of NDPS Act were added lateron), registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

ii) CRM-M-62299-2025 has been filed by petitioner-Kulwinder Singh seeking regular bail in case FIR No.147 dated 05.03.2025, under Section 15(C) of NDPS Act, 1985 (Section 27-A of NDPS Act was added lateron), registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

3. As per the allegations in the FIR, secret information was received by the police party that one Angrez Singh, driver of a canter bearing registration No. PB-13BS-9147, after loading goods from Punjab, used to travel to Bihar, Jharkhand and West Bengal, and while returning, used to bring a huge quantity of poppy husk from Bihar and Jharkhand for its further sale in Punjab at high prices. It was further informed that even on the relevant day, he was proceeding towards Punjab from Karnal via Kurukshetra, and in case of raid, huge quantity of poppy husk could be recovered from his possession.

During the raid, Investigating Officer recovered 253 kg of poppy husk from the canter driven by accused-Angrez Singh. During investigation, he suffered a disclosure statement alleging petitioner Supinder Singh (in CRM-M-45878-2025) had ordered him to bring 220



**CRM-M-45878-2025(O&M) and
CRM-M-6299-2025**

3

kg of poppy husk from Bihar, and similarly co-accused Kulwinder Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-62299-2025) had also placed an order for 15 kg of poppy husk.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since the alleged recovery of 253 kg of poppy husk has already been effected from the vehicle, and petitioners were never found in possession thereof at any point of time, they cannot be implicated in the present case merely on the basis of disclosure statement, which is weak piece of evidence. It is contended that apart from the disclosure statements, there is no other material evidence available with the prosecution to support its version against the petitioners.

In view of the above, it is prayed that the petitioners be granted the concession of regular bail.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that during investigation it has further come on record that from the account of petitioner—Supinder Singh, an amount of ₹50,000/- was transferred to the account of the son of Sanjay Saw (co-accused), who is stated to be residing in the State of Bihar. It is contended that the said transaction forms part of the chain of evidence indicating the involvement of the petitioner in the illicit trade of narcotic substances, and therefore, at this stage, petitioners do not deserve the concession of regular bail.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book as well as the documents appended thereto.



**CRM-M-45878-2025(O&M) and
CRM-M-6299-2025**

4

7. However, on being asked by this Court, learned State counsel submits that the petitioners have undergone custody of more than one year and one month, and out of a total of 16 prosecution witnesses, only 01 has been examined till date.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, particularly that no actual recovery has been effected from either of the petitioners and the case of the prosecution is primarily based upon disclosure statements, this Court is of the view that further incarceration of the petitioners would not serve any useful purpose.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioners are held entitled to the concession of regular bail.

Consequently, present petitions are allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

8. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

9. Petitions stand disposed of.



**CRM-M-45878-2025(O&M) and
CRM-M-6299-2025**

5

10. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

21.04.2026

Rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*