

**CWP-31964-2019****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****(247)****CWP-31964-2019****Date of Decision : 20.04.2026**

Sucha Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARIPresent: Ms. Manveen Kahlon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for setting aside the order dated 08.08.2008 (Annexure P-6), to the extent, that regularization of the petitioner has been wrongly done w.e.f. 19.08.2008. Further, prayer is made for issuance of a *mandamus*, upon the respondents, to grant the petitioner revised pay scale, from the date of his actual regularization i.e. 01.08.1996, and also grant the payment of arrears to the petitioner, after revising the pay scales.

2. Learned counsel for the State, at the very outset, apprises this Court that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed, and after revising the pay scale, the payment has been made to the petitioner. Copy of the pay order is annexed as Annexure R-1, with the reply dated 11.05.2023, filed by the State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, fairly admits that the payment has already been made to the petitioner. However, she submits that

**CWP-31964-2019**

the department concerned, is required to pay the interest, by virtue of law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in its judgment titled '*A.S. Randhawa versus State of Punjab*' 1997 (3) SCT 468.

4. This Court has heard the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties concerned, and is of the considered opinion that the petitioner is required to approach the authorities concerned, for payment of interest, if any, at the first instance.

5. In case, the petitioner files a representation with the authorities concerned, within a period of six weeks, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the latter, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, shall adjudicate the same by passing a speaking order, in view of the judgment (*supra*), within a period of four weeks, thereafter.

6. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

April 20, 2026
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No