



Hari Singh Vs. Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board

Present: - Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Admit.

The second appeal is admitted on the following substantial questions of law:-

1. Whether the production of 'No Due Certificate' by the defendant was not a proof of discharge of a loan admittedly availed by him?
2. Whether the lower appellate court has not erred in granting a decree treating a conditional offer of discharge of loan if it was proved to subsisting as constituting an admission of a subsisting liability to allow for a decree which was otherwise barred by limitation?

Interim stay of recovery on the condition that the appellant pays the suit cost and also deposits 25% of the amount claimed by the plaintiff. On such a deposit, the plaintiff is entitled to withdraw the same without any security. The effect of receipt will abide by final direction at the time of disposal of appeal or by any modification of the order during the pendency of the appeal.

31.8.2015
prem

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE