



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:127216

(129)

CRM-M-41261-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-09.09.2026

AMANDEEP DAHIYA @ AMAN DAHIYA AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Mikhail Kad, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashdeep Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Balwinder Jeet, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 482 of Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 6 dated 06.01.2026 under Sections 318(4), 316(2), 61(2), 308(2) of BNSS, 2023 (corresponding to Sections 420, 406, 120-B, 384 of IPC) at Police Station Dirba District Sangrur along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 15.07.2026 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 28.07.2026, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their respective statements with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned trial Court in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s)



compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is with 2026:PHHC:127216 any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused- petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners. Status report has been filed, which is taken on record.

6. In view of the report of the learned Trial Court, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua petitioners, are hereby quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

09th September, 2026
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No