



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**CM-19262-CWP-2025 in/&
CWP-2359-2013**

Date of Decision: 24.12.2025

UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH

...Petitioner

Versus

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL CHANDIGARH BENCH
AND ANR

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Addl. Standing Counsel with
Mr. Dhruv Walia, Jr. Panel Counsel, for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Pathania, Advocate,
for applicant-respondent No.2 (through VC).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

CM-19262-CWP-2025

The present application has been filed for recalling of order dated 19.11.2025, by which the main writ petition was disposed of.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that though respondent No.2 has retired from the service of Chandigarh Administration and has not been given certain retiral benefits and in order to claim all the entitled retiral benefits, an OA No.060/412/2025 has been filed, hence whatever the relief respondent No.2 is entitled for, will be adjudicated, which fact may kindly be noticed so that no prejudice is caused to respondent No.2 while pursuing the above mentioned Original Application.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Addl. Standing Counsel, accepts notice on



behalf of the applicant-petitioner and raised no objection.

In view of the above, the order dated 19.11.2025 is recalled and the writ petition is restored to its original number and status. On joint request of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is taken on board for consideration.

CM stands disposed of.

CWP-2359-2013

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that though after retirement, certain retiral benefits have been extended but some were not as per the entitlement of respondent No.2, hence, claim for entitled retiral benefits has been raised by respondent No.2 by filing OA No.060/412/2025, the present writ petition is disposed of with the observation that in case respondent No.2 is found entitled for more retiral benefits than the one already released keeping in view the order passed in OA No.060/412/2025, the said issue will be decided by the Tribunal on the merits and the pleadings placed in the said case.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

December 24, 2025
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No