



-1-

CRM-M-38790-2026 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(216)

CRM-M-38790-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 09.09.2026

KARANPREET SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Rupender Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.15 dated 19.02.2026, registered under Sections 21, 27(2), 29 of NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station Mattewal, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR and that, apart from the disclosure statement, there is no cogent material on record to establish the guilt of the petitioner. Therefore, his continued incarceration would cause great hardship.

3. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate as well as a short reply by way of an affidavit of Mr. Kamalpreet Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Majitha, Amritsar (Rural), which are taken on record. Learned State counsel has



-2-

CRM-M-38790-2026 (O&M)

opposed the grant of any concession to the petitioner, however, he could not deny the period of custody already undergone by the petitioner and the fact that no other FIR has been registered against him.

4. In light of the above and considering the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for the last 06 months and 19 days and has clean antecedents, coupled with the fact that the trial is likely to take considerable time, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody. Accordingly, the petitioner is held entitled to the concession of regular bail.

5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition stands allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail if not required in any other case on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned. The petitioner shall, however, be released on the following conditions:

- i The petitioner shall declare his ordinary place of residence and the mobile number used by him.
- ii The petitioner will not switch off his mobile and in case of any technical glitch, he has to give an alternate number, which will be available in his absence.
- iii The petitioner will mark his presence before the SHO concerned, after every 15 days and in case the SHO refuses to mark his presence, he is permitted to make an application before the Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.
- iv The petitioner will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court, for which he will submit the copy of his passport also. However, in case the petitioner does not



-3-

CRM-M-38790-2026 (O&M)

possess a passport, then he shall file an undertaking to the said effect before being released.

The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as imposed in addition to Section 483 of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and this order shall not be considered as parity qua any other co-accused in any manner whatsoever.

7. It is further made clear that, in case, the petitioner is found involved in any such activity once again, the State is at liberty to promptly move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail detailing out the circumstances and violation of conditions of bail.

8. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

September 09, 2026

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No

Yes/No

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE