



2026:PHHC:097661

2026:PHHC:097661



103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COC-3242-2026

Date of Decision: July 17, 2026

Rekha

...Petitioner

Versus

Sandeep Kumar Malik and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Ms. Satpreet Grewal, Advocate (Through V.C.)
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh Rawal, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

By way of this petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, petitioner prays for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for violating the order dated 22.06.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CWP No.7365 of 2026.

The operative part of the said order reads as under:-

“5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 10.06.2026 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide her adequate security in order to protect her life and liberty. In case any offence is found to have been committed by the petitioner, the police authorities shall be at liberty to proceed against her in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioner from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by her.”

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Ravinder Singh Rawal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.



4. Learned State counsel, on instructions informs that the order dated 22.06.2026 (Annexure P-1) has already been complied with by passing a speaking order dated 06.07.2026. Learned State counsel has placed on record copy of the speaking order along with its translated version, wherein it is disclosed that a detailed inquiry was conducted and it was found that the petitioner had made the complaint only to save herself from any action and that there was no need to take any further legal action by the local police.

5. Since the order dated 22.06.2026 (Annexure P-1) has been complied with, no further action is required. However, in case, petitioner is not satisfied with the order dated 06.07.2026 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, she will be at liberty to seek appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

July 17, 2026

sarita

Whether reasoned/speaking:
Whether reportable:

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No