



117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-21173-2025 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 22.04.2026**

SUNIL KUMAR**.....PETITIONER(S)****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.****...RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus to direct the respondent no. 3 Chief Executive Officer, Haryana Kaushal Rojgaar Nigam Limited, Panchkula to implement the order dated 29.02.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed in compliance of the order passed by this Hon'ble Court dated 15.12.2023 (Annexure P-5) whereby the claim of the petitioner to join on the post of Driver was accepted by observing that as and when a requisition notice is received in the O/o respondent no. 3 he will be appointed against that vacancies the order was passed by respondent no. 3 on 29.2.2024 and thereafter, the respondent no, 3 have recruited Drivers and recommended for appointment but the respondent no. 3 has not complied his own order which is illegal null and void and against their own



order dated 29.02.2024. Therefore, respondent no. 3 may be directed to comply with the order dated 29.02.2024 forthwith and allowed to join the petitioner against an suitable post, in the interest of justice.

In pursuance to order dated 07.04.2026, learned State Counsel on instructions from Anu Arya, DG (Govt.) HKRNL submits that there is presently no vacancy at Jind. However, it is stated that if the petitioner is willing, he can be accommodated at Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is agreeable to the aforesaid proposal.

Learned State counsel further submits that in the event the petitioner submits the necessary application indicating his willingness to join at Hisar, the requisite appointment letter shall be issued within a period of one week from the date of submission of such application.

In view of the above developments during the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the present petition.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of. However, in case the State does not adhere to the undertaking given before this Court, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

22.04.2026
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No