



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

105

CWP-21286-2026

Date of decision : 16.07.2026

Sohan Lal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. J.S. Sohal, Advocate with
Mr. Aman Godara, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents, particularly respondent No.3 (Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana), to consider and decide representation dated 17.06.2026 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner, after affording the petitioner a reasonable opportunity of personal hearing and pass a detailed speaking order on all the issues raised therein.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the abovesaid representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rohit Ahuja, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

**CWP-21286-2026**

-2-

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide representation dated 17.06.2026 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

16.07.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No