

**CRM-M-38884-2026 (O&M)****129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-38884-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.07.2026****AJIT SINGH****...PETITIONER****V/S****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Ashir Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J.

1. The petition under Section 528 Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeks the following reliefs:-

- (i) Direction to official respondents No.1 to 4 to consider representation dated 22.03.2026 and take appropriate action against private respondents No.5 to 8.
- (ii) Direction to official respondents No.1 to 4 to conduct proper investigation in the matter.
- (iii) Direction to official respondents No.1 to 4 to protect life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of unknown persons.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on 13.03.2026, private respondents No.5 to 8 along with unknown persons, unlawfully entered petitioner's premises armed with baseball bats and other weapons, assaulted, threatened him with dire consequences and removed valuable



articles. The police was approached and FIR was registered on 14.03.2026. However, despite repeated representations, no effective investigation was carried out. None of the accused were arrested and investigating agency was acting in a biased, arbitrary manner due to political influence exercised by accused persons.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. On instructions from Inspector Karan Pal Singh, he submits that the dispute was with regard to possession of land. There were grounds to suspect that the land belonged to Municipal Council, Majitha, Amritsar wherein the petitioner had raised unauthorized construction. In this regard, correspondence had been addressed to SDM for procuring the records and that was the reason, no arrest was carried out till now.

5. Considering the response of the State, there is no reason to believe that investigation of the case is not being conducted fairly or impartially. The Court is sanguine that the matter could be investigated properly in unbiased manner. No directions are required to be issued.

6. The petition stands disposed of.

7. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

17.07.2026

Sumit Singla

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No