



2026:PHHC:096661



2026:PHHC:096661

104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38224-2026
DECIDED ON: 16.07.2026**

VIKAS DAHIYA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Garg, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sachin Kaushik, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.128, dated 11.05.2026, under Sections 351(3), 3(5), 117(2), 115 of BNS [corresponding to sections 506, 34, 325, 323 of IPC], (section 109 of BNS (erstwhile section 307 of IPC) added later on), registered at Police Station Sonapat City, District Sonapat.

2. Brief facts of the present case, as discussed in the impugned order dated 09.07.2026 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat, are reproduced here under, for reference:-

“As per the prosecution, on 09.05.2026, within the jurisdiction of P.S. City, Sonapat, applicant-petitioner along with coaccused in furtherance of their common intention caused injury to Sandeep and



Deepak with intent to commit their murder and also criminally intimidated by threatening to kill them.

As per MLR of Sandeep Kumar son of Sube Singh, he has received the following injury:-

- 1. Lacerated wound of size Approx 2x1cm present on lateral to right eye Adv-NCCT Head and surgeon and Eye opinion.*
- 2. Pt C/o pain in gultial region no external injury mark seen Adv. Surgeon opinion.*
- 3. Pt C/o pain in B/L hell noexternal injury mark seen Adv. Ortho opinion.*
- 4. Pt C/o pain in abdomen no external injury mark seen Adv. USG abdomen and surgeon opinion.*

As per MLR of Deepak son of Devender, he has received the following injury:-

- 1. Lacerated wound of size approx 1x0.5cm present over right eyebrow Adv. NCCT head and surgeon and eye opinion.*
- 2. Open communitied fractured at right thigh and lower leg Adv. Surgeon and ortho opinion*
and according to the opinion of the doctor, the injury No.2 is dangerous to life.

The applicant-petitioner is named in the FIR. The allegations against the applicant/petitioner are serious in nature. Custodial interrogation of applicant-petitioner is required for the recovery of weapon of offence.”

3. Mr. Sachin Kaushik, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant, and files his *vakalatnama* in Court today, which is taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that injured, Deepak, who runs a canteen in the Court complex, was mercilessly



assaulted, which is duly reflected in the Medico-Legal Report (MLR), which is also reproduced here above. It is further alleged that petitioner was driving the car and intentionally struck injured-Deepak, and thereafter ran the vehicle over his right leg.

5. Learned counsel further submits that, during the course of treatment, right leg of the injured-Deepak, had to be amputated.

6. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations against the petitioner, particularly that injured sustained multiple fractures and ultimately suffered amputation of his right leg, this Court is of the view that no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out to the petitioner in the present case.

7. **Accordingly, present petition is dismissed.**

16.07.2026

Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>