



2026:PHHC:096849

CRWP-8364-2026

1

2026:PHHC:096849



117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8364-2026

Date of Decision: 16.07.2026

CHHAYA AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present : Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavata, DAG, Haryana.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS (corresponding to Section 482 of Cr.P.C.) seeking issuance of directions to official respondents No.2 and 3 to ensure protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 and not to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have performed marriage on 09.07.2026. Copy of marriage certificate is (Annexure P-3). Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 10.07.2026 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Hisar, Haryana, for protection of their lives and liberty but in vain. Learned



counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Hisar, Haryana, to look into their representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.

4. Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavata, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

5. In view of above, petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Hisar, Haryana, to provide necessary protection to the petitioners after considering threat perceptions to their life and liberty and to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

16.07.2026
S. Pathania

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>