



118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21332-2026

Date of decision: 16.07.2026

Vinod Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate and
Mr. Parth Aneja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to remove the anomaly in the pay scales from the date anomaly has started i.e. 01.01.2006 instead of removing the anomaly w.e.f. 01.01.2026 and the petitioners be granted all consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that once the competent authority has acknowledged the existence of pay anomaly, the same ought to have been corrected from its inception granting benefit prospectively from 01.01.2016 is arbitrary and discriminatory. He further submits that the issue involved in the present case is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.19376 of 2021 titled as ***Ramesh Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and another*** decided on 12.07.2024 (Annexure P-5). The petitioner has filed a detailed representation (Annexure P-4) but the same remained unheeded.



2026:PHHC:096716



2026:PHHC:096716

CWP-21332-2026**-2-**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied if the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners is decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice, submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 for time-bound consideration and decision of the representation of the petitioners by passing a speaking order.

5. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and pass a speaking order, after affording them an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioners. Needless to say, if the petitioners are found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.2.

6. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

16.07.2026*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No