



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:093833

2026:PHHC:093833



(124)

CRM-M-36990-2026

Raghbeer Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

Decided on : 10.07.2026

Date of uploading: 10.07.2026

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Nipun Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Sumeet Goel, J. (Oral):

1. The substantive prayer made in the instant petition reads thus:

"It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that this petition may kindly be allowed and the appropriate direction may kindly be issued to the respondent No.4 not to interfere in the free plying of the vehicle of the petitioner bearing registration PB-04-AE-5058 in view of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India reported in RCR Criminal 2007(2) page 76 titled as "ICICI Bank Ltd. Vs Parkash Kaur and others" and Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India reported in SLP (Crl.) No. 4935 of 2006 decided on 15.5.2008 in case titled as "ICICI Bank Vs. Shanti Devi Sharma & Ors", keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case; in the interest of justice. AND

With a further prayer for the issuance of direction to the respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate action against respondent No.4 as the respondent No.4 is not allowing the petitioner to ply his vehicle freely for the purpose of transport business, which is in violation of judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.'

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing for sometime has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the nonce, if a direction is issued to respondent No2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga, to consider



and take a conscious decision upon the representation dated 27.06.2026 made by the petitioner (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the strength of advance copy; Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab causes appearance on behalf of the respondent-State. He has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioner, for decision of his representation dated 27.06.2026 (Annexure P-2), is directed for.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

(i) Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga, is mandated to look into the representation dated 27.06.2026 (Annexure P-2) moved by the petitioner, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 15 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioner within 7 days thereafter.

(ii) No order as to costs.

July 10, 2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No