



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO-8584-2015 (O&M)**

**NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.**

**.....Appellant**

**vs.**

**DHARAMVIR MOR AND OTHERS**

**.....Respondents**

**Reserved on : 21.04.2026**

**Pronounced on: 22.04.2026**

**Uploaded on: 28.04.2026**

*Whether only the operative part of the judgment is pronounced?* **NO**

*Whether full judgment is pronounced?* **YES**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. R.C. Kapoor, Advocate  
for the appellant-Insurance Company.

Ms. Mamta Saini, Advocate  
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate and  
Ms. Navjot Kaur, Advocate  
for respondent No.5.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDEEPTI SHARMA J.**

1. The present appeal has been preferred against the award dated 11.09.2015 passed by the learned Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Chandigarh (for short, 'the Tribunal') in the claim petition filed under Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, wherein, the appellant/insurance company was held liable to pay the compensation to the claimants/respondent Nos.1 to 3 to the tune of Rs.19,06,000/- along with interest @9% per annum, on the ground of quantum of compensation to be on higher side.

2. As sole issue for determination in the present appeal is confined to quantum of compensation awarded by the learned Tribunal, a detailed narration



of the facts of the case is not required to be reproduced here for the sake of brevity.

**SUBMISSIONS OF LEARNED COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES**

3. Learned counsel for the appellant-Insurance Company contends that the compensation granted to the claimant is on the higher side and deserves to be reduced. He, therefore, prays that the present appeal be allowed.

4. Per contra, learned counsel for claimants/respondent Nos.1 to 3 contends that compensation awarded is on the lower side. He further points out that the respondents-claimants have already filed a separate appeal, being ***FAO-6537-2016***, titled as ***“Dharamvir Mor and others Vs. Mahinder Singh and others”*** challenging the quantum of compensation awarded by learned Tribunal and seeks enhancement, therefore, he prays that present appeal be dismissed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the whole record of this case with their able assistance.

6. At the very outset, it is pertinent to note that ***FAO-6537-2016***, titled as ***“Dharamvir Mor and others Vs. Mahinder Singh and others”*** filed by the claimants/respondent Nos.1 to 3 has already been allowed by this Court vide order of even date detailing therein regarding every aspect of the arguments raised in the present appeal.

7. In view of the above, the present appeal is ***dismissed***.

8. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**22.04.2026**

*Ayub/Sahil*

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No