



CRWP-7952-2026

1

2026.PHHC.091977

2026.PHHC:091977



117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7952-2026

Date of Decision: 08.07.2026

HUSANPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present : Ms. Harveen Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Rattu, DAG, Punjab.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus*, directing respondents Nos. 2 & 3 to ensure protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6 and not to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have performed marriage on 04.07.2026. Copy of marriage certificate is (Annexure P-4). Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 04.07.2026 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Malerkotla, Punjab for protection of their lives and liberty but in vain.



CRWP-7952-2026

2

2026.PHHC:091977

2026.PHHC:091977



Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Malerkotla, Punjab to look into their representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.

4. Mr. J.S. Rattu, learned DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

5. In view of above, petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Malerkotla, Punjab to provide necessary protection to the petitioners after considering threat perceptions to their life and liberty and to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

08.07.2026

S. Pathania

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No