



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

112

CWP-20296-2026

Date of Decision: 08.07.2026

Tekram and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. RS Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchi Sekhri, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for respondent No. 6
(through V.C.).**NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioners for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Prohibition* prohibiting the official respondents from posting any of the petitioners under the administrative or supervisory control of respondent No. 6; and in the nature of *Mandamus* directing the official respondents to take action and inquire into every individual complaint made by each of the petitioners against respondent No. 6, in accordance with law.

2. Memo of appearance filed on behalf of respondent No. 6 is taken on record.

3. After arguing for some time, when this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition, with liberty to the petitioners to take recourse to the appropriate remedy, if any, in accordance with law.

4. Permitted to do so.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

08.07.2026*rishu***(NIDHI GUPTA)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No