



2026:PHHC:091035



2026:PHHC:091035

CRWP-7926-2026

1

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-7926-2026
Date of Decision: 07.07.2026**

**SUKHWINDER KAUR AND ANR ...PETITIONERS
VERSUS**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Minkal Thatai, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the behest of respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a *live in* relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their *live in* relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to representation dated 01.07.2026 (Annexure P-3), learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Police Commissioner, Amritsar, Punjab seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Police Commissioner, Amritsar, Punjab to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.



2026:PHHC:091035



2026:PHHC:091035

CRWP-7926-2026

2

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of *live in* relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Police Commissioner, Amritsar, Punjab to look into the representation dated 01.07.2026 (Annexure P-3) qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.
6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

07.07.2026

remu

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No