



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.207

Date of Decision: 10.08.2023

1) CWP-9634-2017

2023:PHHC:104653

Birma Devi

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

2) CWP-9884-2017

2023:PHHC:104917

Raghubir Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Kurukshetra University through Registrar

... Respondent

3) CWP-6055-2017

2023:PHHC:104918

Jarnail Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

4) CWP-25092-2016 (O&M)

2023:PHHC:104920

Dhundhkari Maurya

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

5) CWP-8668-2016

2023:PHHC:104921

Parkashi

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents



6) CWP-2451-2016

2023:PHHC:104923

Rajinder

.... Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd.
and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Dharamveer Phour, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-9634-2017.

Mr. S.K.Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-9884-2017.

Mr. S.K.Daaria, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-6055-2017.

Mr. Mayank Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Raman B.Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No.25092 of 2016.

Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. R.S.Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-8668-2016.

Ms. Ambika, Advocate for
Mr. J.K.Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-2451-2016.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. A.G.Haryana.

Ms. Prerna Malhotra, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondents in CWP-2451-2016.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

By this common order, all six writ petitions, details of which have been mentioned hereinabove, are being disposed of as all of them involve similar issue. However, facts are being taken from CWP No.9634 of 2017.

2. This petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to regularise the petitioner's services as Class-IV



Coolies in terms of the policies of regularisation dated 01.10.2003 and 18.06.2014, Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively.

3. Learned State counsel submits that both these policies along with other regularisation policies issued by the State Government stand quashed by a Division Bench of this Court, vide judgment dated 31.05.2018 passed in CWP No.17206 of 2014 titled *Yogesh Tyagi and another v. State of Haryana and others*, against which Special Leave to Appeal (c) No.4531 of 2023 is pending before the Supreme Court. Therefore, the petitioner's claim for regularisation cannot be considered.

4. The facts submitted by learned State counsel are not disputed. In these circumstances, all the petition(s) are disposed of being not maintainable, with liberty to the petitioner(s) to get their petition(s) revived by moving appropriate application(s) in case any right survives in them to seek regularisation after final decision by the Supreme Court in the matter.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on connected files.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

10.08.2023
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No