



2026:PHHC:094360

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-19931-2026

Date of Decision : July 13, 2026

SIMRITA SINGH

-PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate, with
Ms. Kulwinder Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Suneet Singh Deol, Advocate
for the respondents No.3 to 6.

Mr. Dharm Chand Mittal, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the respondent No.8.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. On the previous date of hearing, i.e. 06.07.2026, this Court
passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would like to restrict his prayer only to the extent of issuance of a mandamus upon respondents to finalise the service rules and regulations governing the service conditions of the teaching cadre employed in NIIFT.

He further submits that, with regard to the aforesaid grievance, the petitioner had submitted a representation dated 13.03.2014 (Annexure P-4) to respondent no.3, pursuant to which a committee was constituted. However, as the said committee failed to take any final decision on the representation, another committee was constituted in the year 2025. It is contended that despite the lapse of considerable time, the said committee has also not been able to arrive at any logical conclusion or finalise the Service Rules.



At this stage, without issuing notice, Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court on advance notice, is directed to have appropriate instructions from respondent no.3, who is also functioning as the ex officio Chairman of respondent No.5–NIIFT, and to apprise this Court, that how much time is required for finalisation of the Service Rules governing the service conditions of the teaching cadre.

It is made clear that aforesaid direction would not have any impediment for the respondent authorities to proceed with the advertisement (Annexure P-7).

Adjourned to 13.07.2026.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

2. Today, in compliance with the hereinabove extracted order, the learned State counsel, on instructions received from Mr. Gurkirat Kirpal Singh, I.A.S, submits that the Service Rules governing the service conditions of the teaching cadre shall be framed within a period of seven months.

3. In view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned State counsel, no further directions are required to be issued in the writ petition and the same is, accordingly, closed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to seek revival of the writ petition for the limited purpose of seeking implementation of the aforesaid statement, in the event the authority fails to comply with the same within the stipulated period.

4. **Disposed of accordingly.**

July 13, 2026
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No