



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:091508

(174)

CRWP-7886-2026

Decided on :07.07.2026

Date of uploading:07.07.2026

Gurveer Singh And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India the following substantive prayer(s):-

“(i) Issuance of direction to respondent no.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain respondents No.5 to 21, not to harass or humiliate the petitioners.

(ii) Issuance of directions to Respondent no. 2 to direct respondent 4 not to force the petitioners to enter into agreement with the private respondents to stop the work.

(iii) Issuance to the of appropriate directions respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection to life and liberty to the petitioners from the hands of respondents Nos.5 to 21.

(iv) Issuance of directions to official respondents to take steps to prevent atrocity, to prevent loss of life of the petitioners and to prevent damage to their property under relevant provisions of The Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, read with The Scheduled Castes and The Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Rules, 1995 by the private respondents.

(v) Any other order or direction whichever this Hon'ble Court deems fit in view of the present facts and circumstances may also be issued.

(vi) filing of the certified/true typed copies of Annexures be dispensed with;

(vii) issuance of advance notice to the respondents may kindly be dispensed with.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing for sometime has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No2-Superintendent of Police Kaithal,



District Kaithal to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 29.06.2026 made by the petitioners (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-6 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioners, for decision of his representation dated 29.06.2026 (Annexure P-6), is directed for.

4. Keeping in view the nature of the litigation involved in the present petition, the requirement to serve respondent No.5 to 21 stands dispensed with.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

(i) Superintendent of Police Kaithal, District Kaithal is mandated to look into the representation dated 29.06.2026 (Annexure P-6) moved by the petitioners, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 30 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioners within 7 days thereafter.

(ii) No order as to costs.

07.07.2026

jatin

**(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No