



CRM-M-36000-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

224

CRM-M-36000-2026

Date of decision : 10.07.2026

**RANJEET SINGH**

... PETITIONER

Versus

**STATE OF HARYANA**

.. RESPONDENT

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Manan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439 Cr.P.C.) seeking regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0352 dated 16.10.2025, registered under Sections 318(2), 316(2) of BNS, 2023 (corresponding to Sections 417 and 405 IPC) and Section 24 of the Immigration Act at Police Station Nissing, District Karnal, Haryana.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner allegedly cheated the complainant by dishonestly inducing him on the pretext of sending him and his son to Canada. It is alleged that the petitioner received an amount of Rs.10 lakhs from the complainant for the said purpose with an assurance to arrange their travel abroad. However, instead of sending them to Canada, the petitioner allegedly sent the complainant and his son from Delhi to Chennai and

**CRM-M-36000-2026****-2-**

thereafter to Malaysia through one of his agents, thereby committing the alleged offence.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and nothing has been received by him. It is further submitted that the petitioner has already executed a mortgaged deed in favour of the brother of the complainant, namely, Bhagwan Dass on 18.06.2024 and the mortgage deed is of Rs.19,75,000/- of land measuring 1 acre situated at village Dachar. He also submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 07 months. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial qua the petitioner is likely to take a long time as none of the prosecution witness has been examined so far.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 07 months. He, upon instructions, submits that none of the prosecution witness has been examined so far.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts that the petitioner is in custody for the last more

**CRM-M-36000-2026****-3-**

than 07 months and that the trial is likely to take a long time to conclude as none of the prosecution witness has been examined so far, therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial as the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

**July 10, 2026**  
**A.Kaundal**

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |