



::1::

(236)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36068-2026 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 10.07.2026

Mohit @ Mota

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (through VC).

Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.346 dated 10.10.2025 under Sections 310(2), 311 BNS, 2023 (Sections 395 and 397 of IPC) and Sections 25(1B)(a) of the Arms Act, 1959 and later on added Section 29(b) of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Pataudi, District Gurugram.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the co-accused of the petitioner who are otherwise juveniles have been granted the concession of bail. As the petitioner is in custody since 15.10.2025 but none of the 33 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

3. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand contends that during the course of the investigation, it has been found that the petitioner was present at the spot. The recovery of Pan Card, Driving Licence etc. of the



2026:PHHC:093992

2026:PHHC:093992



::2::

complainant has been effected from the petitioner. Therefore, he is not entitled to the concession as prayed for. She, however, concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 15.10.2025 but none of the 33 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. As he is in custody since 15.10.2025 but none of the 33 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner, namely, Mohit @ Mota is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. The petitioner shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform in writing each time that he is not involved in any other case/crime other than the case(s) referred to in this order.

8. If any attempt whatsoever is made by the petitioner and/or his family members/friends to contact/threaten/intimidate any of the witnesses of the present occurrence, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted vide this order.



::3::

9. In addition, the petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioner from Trial without sufficient cause.

10. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 10, 2026

vishal

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable :- Yes/No