



(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20352-2026

Date of Decision: 08.07.2026

Manjit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Maneesh Bali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus for directing the respondents for counting the part time services of the petitioner rendered by her before 01.01.2004 for calculating length of service towards retiral benefits. Further, a writ of mandamus is sought directing the respondents to grant pension under the Old Pension Scheme as per law laid down by this Court in 'Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab & others' (CWP-2371-2010 decided on 31.08.2010), which has been upheld by Hon'ble the Supreme Court on 30.07.2012.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of her grievances, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated 03.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) to the respondents, which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider



(2)

and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, while Mr. Birinder Pal Sharma, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4. They have no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 03.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

08.07.2026

Vimal

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No