



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CWP-19883-2026

Date of decision: 10.07.2026

Narender Singh & anr.

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana & ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present :- Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners (through VC).

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the judgment dated 24.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.2, whereby appeal/case No.EA-124/2022 filed by the petitioners against the judgment dated 03.03.2022 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No.3 was dismissed as also for quashing the judgment dated 03.03.2022 (Annexure P-3) whereby the Collector dismissed the suit of the petitioners filed under Section 13-A of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961.

2. On 06.07.2026, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. A.V.S. Parmar, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, Addl. A.G. Haryana*

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



respondents-State has submitted that against the order dated 24.04.2025, the petitioners have remedy of filing a revision petition before the Financial Commissioner as per the provision of Section 13AA of the Haryana Village Common Lands Regulation) Act, 1961. It is further pointed out that however, in para 12 of the writ petition, it has been stated that the petitioners have no other remedy of appeal or revision except filing the present writ petition.

Para 12 of the writ petition which has been highlighted is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“12. That the petitioners are left with no other remedy of appeal or revision except to approach the Hon’ble Court by way of filing the present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for an adjournment to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 10.07.2026.

Last opportunity is granted to the petitioners to get instructions in the matter.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the same, the petitioners be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail alternative remedy in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

10.07.2026
monika

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No