



CRM-M-35366-2025 and
CRM-M-39510-2025

1

105

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35366-2025

Balvinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CRM-M-39510-2025

Kuldeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

Decided on: 24.03.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in both cases)

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. A.G. Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of this common order, both the above-mentioned petitions are being decided together as they arise out of the same FIR and common allegations.

2. Both the above mentioned petitioners namely—(i) Balvinder Kaur (in CRM-M-35366-2025) and (ii) Kuldeep Singh (in CRM-M-39510-2025) have been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s), who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.147, dated 18.03.2025, under Sections 406, 420 IPC and



2026:PHHC:047131



2026:PHHC:047131

**CRM-M-35366-2025 and
CRM-M-39510-2025**

2

Section 24 of Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal.

3. On hearing petitioner's counsel in the case of Balvinder Kaur, the following was recorded on 08.07.2025:

“(i) *** **

(ii) *While referring to the inquiry report prepared by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Assandh, pursuant to Complaint No. 2635/Peshi dated 29.07.2024, it is submitted that the matter pertains to a monetary transaction between the complainant and the co-accused, namely Kuldeep Singh. The cheques issued by Kuldeep Singh towards repayment of the amount of ₹11,30,000/- were dishonoured. Concluding that the dispute is of a civil nature involving a money transaction, the complaint was consigned to the record.*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the above, a false complaint has been registered against the accused by wrongly implicating the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency. Accordingly, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

(iii) *Notice of motion.*

On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana. puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State. He seeks some time to file reply.

(iv) *Adjourned to 19.08.2025.*

(v) *Till the next date of hearing, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed. However, the issue of the petitioner's joining the investigation will be examined after considering the reply of the respondent/State.”*



**CRM-M-35366-2025 and
CRM-M-39510-2025**

3

4. Similarly on hearing the petitioner's counsel in the case of Kuldeep Singh on 24.07.2025, following was recorded:

“(i) xx xx xx xx

(ii) *Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that co-accused of the petitioner, namely; Balvinder Kaur, has already been granted concession of ad-interim bail vide order dated 08.07.2025, passed in CRM-M-35366-2025, titled as, “Balvinder Kaur v. State of Haryana” (Annexure P-3), and said petition is now posted for its hearing on 19.08.2025.*

Further submits that as per the inquiry report prepared by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Assandh, pursuant to Complaint No.2635/Peshi, dated 29.07.2024, cheques issued by the petitioner towards repayment of the amount of ₹11,30,000/- were dishonoured. Concluding that the dispute is of a civil nature involving a money transaction, the complaint was consigned to the record. Also submits that a false complaint has been registered against the petitioner and co-accused. Besides, petitioner is ready to join investigation, if protected from arrest. Thus, he prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

(ii) *Notice of motion.*

(iv) *On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report/reply by verifying the submissions addressed by the petitioner’s counsel.*

(v) *Adjourned to 19.08.2025.*

(vi) *Till the next date of hearing, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed. However, the issue of the petitioner’s joining the investigation will be examined after considering the status report/reply of the respondent/State.*

To be heard along with CRM-M-35366-2025.”



**CRM-M-35366-2025 and
CRM-M-39510-2025**

4

5. Reiterating the submissions, counsel for the petitioners submits that as per enquiry report in pursuance to the complaint dated 2635/Peshi dated 29.07.2024 submitted by complainant-Devender Dutt done by the police officials, dispute was found to be the exchange of amount and there being no criminality involved, was referred to avail the remedy as per law.

6. On being asked by the Court, statement given by petitioner-Kuldeep Singh, during the course of enquiry was perused and as per statement dated 05.08.2024, he undertook to return the amount, which he had taken from complainant-Devender Dutt, however, nowhere said statement discloses that said amount was taken by Kuldeep Singh for sending anybody abroad. *Prima facie*, it can not be assumed that the allegations levelled in FIR are true, therefore, this Court finds it appropriate to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the present case.

7. Accordingly, petitioners are directed to join the investigation within two weeks from today, or as and when called by the investigating agency, and in the eventuality of the arrest, petitioners would be released on anticipatory bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioners shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

8. Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial,



**CRM-M-35366-2025 and
CRM-M-39510-2025**

5

petitioners would seek prior permission of the Court.

9. With the directions issued here above, both the petitions stand disposed of.

10. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

24.03.2026

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No