



2026:PHHC:088249

2026:PHHC:088249



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

CRWP-7600-2026

Date of decision :30.06.2026

RADHIKA AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Dr. D.S. Lamba, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking protection of their lives and liberty as guaranteed by Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Dr. D.S. Lamba, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Service of notice to the private respondents is dispensed with as no adverse order is passed against them.

4. As per petition and documents enclosed therewith i.e. Aadhar Card issued by the Government of India, petitioner No.1-Radhika is aged 20 years and petitioner No.2- Amarjeet Singh is aged 32 years. The petitioners are residing with each other after the marriage which is solemnized on 24.06.2026

**CRWP-7600-2026**

-2-

at Gurudwara Guru Nanak Niwas Sahib, Village Karor Kalan, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali according to sikh rites.

5. The petitioners have made a representation dated 24.06.2026 (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali seeking protection of life and liberty. The petitioners have no apprehension of causing any injury on the part of the police or other Government authorities whereas they are claiming that there is apprehension that their relatives may cause injury and put their lives in danger.

6. The official respondents are directed to examine the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law and to ensure protection as per threat perception. The disposal of present petition shall not be construed as protection to petitioners from their civil or criminal liability which, if any, they have incurred in past or may incur in future. This order further would not legalize their relation, if not permissible by law in force.

7. Disposed of.

30.06.2026
manoj

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No