



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7544-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision :25.06.2026

Lakhwinder Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate, and
Mr. Hardeep Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, as filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions upon respondents No.1 to 3, to ensure protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondent No.4, and also to restrain him from harassing the petitioners or interfering in their married life.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that since both the petitioners have attained the minimum age, as prescribed by statute, for solemnizing marriage, therefore, they have lawfully solemnized their first marriage, however, it has caused grievance to the private respondent concerned. Such grievance of the private respondent concerned has made



2026:PHHC:088079



2026:PHHC:088079

them apprehensive of danger to their lives and liberty and resultantly, it has constrained them to approach this Court, to seek protection of their lives and liberty.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 is of 22 years, and petitioner No.2 is 23 years old. To substantiate his submission, he has placed reliance on Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.1, and passport of petitioner No.2, which are annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively, with the instant criminal writ petition. The marriage certificate and photographs evidencing marriage of the petitioners are available on record respectively as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have also submitted a representation dated 23.06.2026 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Hoshiapur-respondent No.2.

4. This Court has put a specific query to learned counsel for the petitioners, whether, both the petitioners are major and whether, it is their first marriage, to which, he answered in affirmative.

5. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

6. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents.

7. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the instant petition is **disposed of**, with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Hoshiapur-respondent No.2, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5), and grant protection to the petitioners, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.



2026:PHHC:088079



2026:PHHC:088079

8. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order shall not be understood to have expressed any opinion whatsoever, by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

June 25, 2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No