



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7591-2026

Date of Decision:- 30.06.2026

Priyanka Nahar and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurpartap Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Priyanka Nahar and Sonu have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and personal liberty from the hands of respondent Nos.4 & 5.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhar Card and Birth Certificate of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 18.05.2008. On the other hand as per Aadhar Card and PAN Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 26.01.2004. They had performed marriage on 13.06.2026. Copy of marriage certificate and marriage photographs is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur dated 23.06.2026, which is Annexure P-4. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of parents of petitioner No.1. Respondents



No.4 & 5 are parents of petitioner No.1 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Gurpartap Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of parents of petitioner No.1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5. It is the duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 & 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 23.06.2026 (Annexure P-4) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5, considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

30.06.2026
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No