



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:089038

2026:PHHC:089038



CRM-M-35058 of 2026(O&M)
Date of Order:02.07.2026

Happy Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. C.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J. (oral)

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case vide FIR No.112 dated 09.05.2026 under Section 105 BNS at Police station City-2, Mansa, District Mansa. This is the first application for anticipatory bail.

2. FIR was recorded on statement of Jaspal Singh son of Karnail Singh that his youngest son Navdeep Singh alias Bullet, aged 20 years was living at Rara Sahib where he was learning AC repair work. He came home on 07.05.2026. He was addicted to drugs and was consumer of 'Kink Boxing' solution. Due to consumption of drug, he died on 08.05.2026. Legal action was prayed for against the unknown sellers of the drugs.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not named in the FIR. His name figured in the supplementary statement of the complainant recorded after three days of the FIR on the basis of which



DDR entry was recorded. Petitioner had no connection with the boy and was being implicated for the reason that deceased had called the petitioner as per the call detail record collected by the police. Petitioner was ready and willing to join investigation and make over his mobile phone to police.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail arguing that petitioner directly contributed to death of the deceased by supplying drugs and his custodial interrogation was required.

6. Considering the nature and substance of allegations against the petitioner, his custodial interrogation is necessitated to elicit useful information and recover relevant evidence. Grant of anticipatory bail at this stage will not advance the course of justice and would amount to undue interference in the statutory investigational powers of the police, which is not permissible.

7. Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

02.07.2026

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No