



224

2026:PHHC:092468



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-35101-2026

Date of Decision: 08.07.2026

Kanwar Randeep Singh Khraud @ SK

...Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Ramandee Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

N.S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of the BNSS 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.113 dated 26.6.2016 registered under Sections 307, 341, 427, 148, 149 and 120-B IPC and Sections 25,27,54,59 of arms Act (Sections 109, 127(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 and 61(2) of BNS), at P.S. Sadar Ludhiana, Distt. Ludhiana (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that, initially the petitioner was not named in the FIR and the FIR was lodged only against Manvir Singh @ Mani and Satbir Singh and five-six unknown persons. However, the petitioner was arrested in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner was granted the concession of bail by this Court on 4th May 2017,



vide order (Annexure P-4), and thereafter, he was regularly appearing before the trial Court. However, after some time, he could not appear before the trial Court due to some misunderstanding and was declared as proclaimed offender on 10th November 2022. However, vide order dated 12th March 2026 (Annexure P-5), the order whereby he was declared as proclaimed offender was quashed by this Court. Now, the petitioner is stated to be in custody since 12.09.2022 and no purpose will be served by keeping him behind the bars. Even the similarly placed co-accused, namely, Manvir Singh @ Mani and Satbir Singh are also on bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. At this stage, this Court cannot overlook the fact that the petitioner was arrested earlier but he was granted the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 04.05.2017 (Annexure P-4), passed by this Court, and thereafter, the petitioner has not misused the concession of bail in any manner.

6. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

July 08, 2026
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No