

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7096-2026

Date of decision: 16.06.2026

Busra and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ


Present :- Ms. Rosi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishal Singh, A.A.G., Haryana

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in the peaceful living of the petitioners at the behest of respondents No.4 to 18 and other relatives.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 18 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 18. He further submits that earlier petitioner No.1 was married to Shabbir and out of this wedlock no child was born and he was in habit of beating her after getting intoxicated. Thereafter, petitioner No.1 left her matrimonial house and started living at her parental house and since last one and half year neither her husband nor her in-laws ever put efforts to take her back. Learned counsel for the petitioners



CRWP-7096-2026

CRWP-7096-2026

-2-

states that petitioners have filed representation dated 11.06.2026 (Annexure P-4) before the Superintendent of Police, Nuh.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Vishal Singh, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh to decide the representation dated 11.06.2026 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

16.06.2026*Tarsem*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No