



CRWP-7107-2026

**122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**CRWP-7107-2026  
Date of decision : 16.06.2026

Tarannum Khatoon and another .....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others .....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**Present :- Mr. Silvi Katoch, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishal Singh, A.A.G, Haryana.

**RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

1. Present petition has been filed for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5, as the petitioners who are major, solemnized marriage on 08.06.2026 according to Hindu Rites and Ceremonies against the wishes of private respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has placed on record the Marriage Certificate along with photographs showing the marriage ceremony of the petitioners as Annexure P-5 and Annexure P-6. It has further been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No.1 belongs to Muslim Religion and petitioner No.2 belongs to Hindu religion. However, before solemnizing the marriage, the petitioner No.1 has adopted Hindu Religion by her free will and consent and has also executed her duly sworn affidavit dated 08.06.2026 (Annexure P-7). Petitioners apprehend danger



CRWP-7107-2026

from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 09.06.2026 (Annexure P-8) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vishal Singh, A.A.G, Haryana, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra to decide the representation dated 09.06.2026 (Annexure P-8) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their marriage.
6. Disposed of.

**16.06.2026***Tarsem*

**( RAJESH BHARDWAJ )  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No