



2026:PHHC:087241



2026:PHHC:087241

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CRM-W-827-2026 in/and
CRWP-6925-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision:11.06.2026**

Prahlad and Others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Lakshya Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Respondent Nos.4 and 5 are the wife and husband.

Petitioner Nos.1 and 2 are the parents of respondent No.4 Sonia. Petitioner Nos.3 and 4 are the sons of petitioner Nos.1 and 2 i.e. brothers of respondent No.4 Sonia; whereas petitioner Nos.5 and 6 are the wives of petitioner Nos.3 and 4. All of them apprehend danger to their lives from the hands of private respondents.

It is submitted by learned counsel that in fact, respondent Nos.4 and 5 are having *inter se* matrimonial disputes for the last 15-16 years which had escalated due to the suspicion of respondent No.5 to the effect that respondent No.4 is having some extra marital relations with respondent No.7. It is alleged that on account of the said dispute between the husband and wife i.e. respondent Nos.4 and 5, and the other private respondents are threatening the petitioners to falsely implicate them. One



2026:PHHC:087241



2026:PHHC:087241

**CRM-W-827-2026 in/and
CRWP-6925-2026 (O&M)**

-2-

of the petitioner Prahlad even made representation dated 02.06.2026 (Annexure P-1) to The Senior Superintendent of Police, Rohtak but no action has been taken so far. Learned counsel also submits that petitioners have already severed all their ties with respondent No.4.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karanveer Singh, Senior DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3- State. He submits that representation of petitioners shall be considered as per law.

Respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, District Rohtak shall take appropriate steps to dispose of the representation (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law and provide necessary protection to the petitioners, in case, any threat perception is found to their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents.

Disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

June 11, 2026

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No